



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,474	10/24/2003	Jadwiga Malgorzata Bialek	F6176(V)	2551
201 7590 06/20/2007 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			EXAMINER	
			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
	BLDG C2 SOUTH ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LNGLLWOOL	CER 15, 113 07032 3100		1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/693,474	BIALEK ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ag	<u>oril 2007</u> .			
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)∟	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	o3 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-11,13,14,16-18 and 20-25</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-11,13,14,16-18 and 20-25</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	- · ·	• •		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u>□</u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,474

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe as further evidenced by Lowe and Schwartzberg for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that Watanabe does not appreciate the mouth feel benefits of excluding carbohydrate. But Watanabe does not use carbohydrate in his composition. Applicant refers to claims 14 and 25, which are not rejected over Watanabe. Applicants' arguments relating to the type of emulsion were discussed in the last office action. The fact that Watanabe does not identify the emulsion does not alter examiner's opinion that the Watanabe emulsion appears to be oil in water emulsion.

It is appreciated that the fiber size is not mentioned in Watanabe but one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to adjust the bran fibers to an optimum size to achieve a desired mouth feel.

Claims 1, 3, 7-11, 13, 14, 16-18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hercules alone or in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/693,474 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Fischer and as further evidenced by Lowe and also Schwartzberg for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that high levels of sugar and starch are required in Hercules. This is disagreed with because at column 6, lines 50-53, the ranges of sugar and carbohydrate contemplated are as low as 0%. Applicant argues mouth feel but no difference is seen between the mouth feel of the claims the mouth feel of Hercules. No difference is seen from the dissipation of the product in the mouth of the claimed product and the dissipation of the product in the mouth of the Hercules product. Applicants' arguments relating to Fischer has been considered but is not persuasive. If one of ordinary skill in the art were looking to enhance the thickness of his salad dressing, it would have been obvious to look to an additive with viscosity enhancing properties. Fischer clearly teaches the application of his fiber "where viscosity enhancement or thickening are acceptable as a side effect of dietary fiber fortification". The fact that salad dressing was not mentioned in Fischer does not prohibit or teach away form a dietary fiber application to salad dressing.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,474

Art Unit: 1761

It is appreciated that the fiber size is not mentioned in Watanabe but one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to adjust the bran fiber to an optimum size to achieve a desired mouth-feel.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,474 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER 6-15-06